

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,316	04/27/2000	SERGE GIDON	GDU-1005	5430
27572	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			SCOTT JR, LEON	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2828	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(000
Advisory Action	09/530,316	GIDON ET AL.	
Navioury Notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Ip	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whic	ation.  A proper repl <sub>:</sub> h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
<ol><li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer</li></ol>	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	·	
10. Other:		Paul Sp Paul Ip	•
		SPE	
		Art Unit: 2828	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 25 introduced new subject matters such as "electromagnetic waves in the optical domain" and "by heterodyning of said electromagnetic waves" without correcting the 35 USC 112 2nd paragrahp rejection to clearly define and correct the "phase manner", "amplitude manner"...etc toparticularly claim the invention. The Amendment after final rejection fails to overcome the rejection as set forh in the Final Rejection.